


## Q1 Overall, how did this CLE meet your expectations? Was it...

Answered: 42 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
A lot better than expected	23.81%	10
Better than expected	35.71%	15
About what I expected	40.48%	17
Worse than expected	0.00%	0
A lot worse than expected	0.00%	0
TOTAL		42

#	BELOW, PLEASE ENTER ANY OBSERVATIONS REGARDING SPECIFIC SESSIONS AT THE CLE:	DATE
1	The speakers were excellent	12/7/2017 1:21 PM
2	Very different topics from previous years - excellent speakers all around - Outside speakers on Insurance and Securities were refreshingly new speakers	12/7/2017 8:49 AM
3	Content was more in-depth than previous years	12/6/2017 8:21 PM
4	Insurance section very well presented - Securities law one would benefit from more examples of areas of concern	12/6/2017 4:53 PM
5	Thank you for including construction law/insurance content.	12/6/2017 4:50 PM

Q2 Was this CLE relevant to your practice area?

Answered: 41    Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES		RESPONSES	
Yes		92.68%	38
No		7.32%	3
TOTAL			41


### Q3 Why wasn't this CLE relevant to your practice area?

Answered: 4   Skipped: 38

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Not currently in active practice but worked in RE matters before.	12/12/2017 10:35 AM
2	Partly relevant. I don't deal with large commercial leases.	12/7/2017 5:05 PM
3	I practice in the area of Water Law but the information that I learned was fantastic!	12/7/2017 1:24 PM
4	Not in area of expertise	12/7/2017 7:15 AM

## Q4 How useful was the content presented?

Answered: 42 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Extremely useful	21.43%	9
Very useful	47.62%	20
Somewhat useful	28.57%	12
Not so useful	2.38%	1
Not at all useful	0.00%	0
TOTAL		42

#	IN WHAT WAY?	DATE
1	Never knew most of the information. Good for generalized knowledge of an important field.	12/7/2017 1:25 PM
2	Some of the information was not relevant as a result of my not having transactions as large as discussed.	12/7/2017 8:42 AM
3	More educational	12/7/2017 7:16 AM
4	The insurance and Bankruptcy presentations were excellent	12/6/2017 8:22 PM
5	Lots of issues to consider regarding future transactions	12/6/2017 5:31 PM
6	The insurance lecture was most relevant and useful for my practice.	12/6/2017 4:53 PM

Q5 Did the content of this session meet the stated learning objectives?

Answered: 42    Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	100.00%	42
No	0.00%	0
TOTAL		42


## Q6 In what way did this CLE fail to meet your learning goals?

Answered: 0   Skipped: 42

#	RESPONSES	DATE
	There are no responses.	

## Q7 Please rate the effectiveness of each faculty member:

Answered: 40 Skipped: 2



	EXTREMELY EFFECTIVE	VERY EFFECTIVE	SOMEWHAT EFFECTIVE	NOT SO EFFECTIVE	NOT AT ALL EFFECTIVE	N/A	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
William H. Locke Jr.	27.50% 11	47.50% 19	17.50% 7	5.00% 2	0.00% 0	2.50% 1	40	4.00
Charles Comiskey	27.50% 11	47.50% 19	17.50% 7	5.00% 2	0.00% 0	2.50% 1	40	4.00
Seth Sparks	12.82% 5	51.28% 20	23.08% 9	7.69% 3	2.56% 1	2.56% 1	39	3.66
Charles Vigil	25.00% 10	52.50% 21	20.00% 8	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	2.50% 1	40	4.05
Charles Price (moderator)	30.00% 12	47.50% 19	15.00% 6	0.00% 0	2.50% 1	5.00% 2	40	4.08
Debora Ramirez (moderator)	5.13% 2	51.28% 20	28.21% 11	7.69% 3	2.56% 1	5.13% 2	39	3.51
Thomas D. Walker	51.28% 20	38.46% 15	10.26% 4	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	39	4.41

## Evaluation - 2017 Real Property Institute


Robert Lucero	25.64% 10	53.85% 21	20.51% 8	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	39	4.05
Randy Powers	25.64% 10	48.72% 19	23.08% 9	2.56% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	39	3.97
Brandon Toensing	18.42% 7	50.00% 19	23.68% 9	2.63% 1	0.00% 0	5.26% 2	38	3.89

#	COMMENTS:	DATE
1	I had difficulty hearing Mr. Locke.	12/7/2017 5:07 PM
2	The morning portion of the CLE needed more breaks. The information was too dense to get much out of without more breaks.	12/7/2017 11:38 AM
3	I won't be using my computer for live CLE, too many problems.	12/7/2017 6:16 AM



## Q8 How would you like to receive information about future CLE programs?

Answered: 39 Skipped: 3



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Bar Bulletin CLE Planner (color insert)	53.85%	21
CLE Website	17.95%	7
Bar Bulletin CLE ad	17.95%	7
State Bar E-news (weekly e-newsletter)	2.56%	1
Bar Bulletin Calendar	10.26%	4
E-mail from State Bar	48.72%	19
Facebook	0.00%	0
Twitter	0.00%	0
Total Respondents: 39		

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
	There are no responses.	

## Q9 In what ways can the Center for Legal Education improve your learning experience?

Answered: 3 Skipped: 39

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Though I am one of few to take advantage of it, I have appreciated the Real Estate Broker continuing ed credit offered in past years.	12/7/2017 8:52 AM
2	It would be beneficial to review other CLE's for acceptance. If NM is concerned about attorney listening - there should be better verification. Did PLI seminar for 24 hours of Advanced Tax CLE (honored for full credit in Texas and Colorado) and NM honors 4 hours - yet every 6-12 minutes PLI would ding the watcher to confirm you are actually involved in the program.	12/6/2017 4:58 PM
3	I am satisfied w/ the current approaches.	12/6/2017 4:57 PM


## Q10 What other topics and presenters would you like to see at future CLE programs?

Answered: 2   Skipped: 40

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Research for quiet title suits	12/7/2017 5:07 PM
2	Construction law seems to be given short shrift within New Mexico for CLE credits. the working of the NM mechanic's lien statute, retainage statute and anti-indemnification statute are worthy of coverage.	12/6/2017 4:57 PM

## Q11 I prefer the following types of learning methods (please see descriptions below):


Answered: 33 Skipped: 9



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Lecture: university-style talk to a large audience, using visual aids	87.88%	29
Large Group Discussion: open forum which allows audience to pose questions to presenter(s)	33.33%	11
Small Group Discussion: breakout sessions where attendees form small groups moderated by faculty	6.06%	2
Case Study: a lecture which centers around a specific case or cases relevant to the topic	24.24%	8
Role Play: interactive, audience participation-based scenarios such as a mock trial	3.03%	1
Total Respondents: 33		

## Q12 The Center for Legal Education is an accredited course provider through the New Mexico State Bar Foundation. How likely are you to attend a future Center for Legal Education course?

Answered: 39 Skipped: 3



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Extremely likely	69.23%	27
Very likely	23.08%	9
Somewhat likely	7.69%	3
Not so likely	0.00%	0
Not at all likely	0.00%	0
TOTAL		39